arXiv:gr-qc/0701160v1 29 Jan 2007

Differences between scalar field and scalar density solutions

Nurettin Pirinççioğlu[a] **and Ilker Sert**[b]

[a] *Department of Physics, University of Dicle, Diyarbakır, TR21280.*
[b] *Department of Physics, Institute of Nuclear Science, Izmir, TR35100.*

Abstract

We explore differences between scalar field, and scalar density solutions by using Robertson-Walker (RW) metric, and also a non-relativistic Hamiltonian is derived for a scalar density field in the post-Newtonian approximation. The results are compared with those of scalar field. The expanding universe in RW metric, and post-Newtonian solution of Klein-Gordon equation are separately discussed.

1 Introduction

There is a vital role of tensors in physics, but the role of the tensor densities in physics is not discussed in literatures. Just we know properties of it, but there is no application to understand the effects it may cause, except that using $\sqrt{(-g)}$ to make the volume element, d^4x, a tensor in general relativity. So let us remember some properties of scalar densities; for example, the determinant of a metric tensor is a tensor density [1]

$$g \equiv \det g_{\mu\nu}. \tag{1}$$

The transformation rule for the metric tensor is

$$g_{\mu'\nu'}(x') = \frac{\partial x^{\sigma}}{\partial x^{\mu'}} \frac{\partial x^{\rho}}{\partial x^{\nu'}} g_{\sigma\rho}(x), \tag{2}$$

and taking determinants of the transformation, we have

$$(-g') = J^2 \, (-g) \tag{3}$$

Hence the metric determinant g is a scalar density of weight $+2$ [2]. Taking the square roots, to get

$$\sqrt{(-g')} = J\sqrt{(-g)}, \tag{4}$$

and so the $\sqrt{-g}$ is a scalar density of weight $+1$. Beside the covariant derivative of $g_{\mu\nu}$, the covariant derivative of $\sqrt{-g}$ is trivial, that is

$$\nabla_{\mu}\sqrt{-g} \equiv 0. \tag{5}$$

Any tensor density of weight W can be expressed as an ordinary tensor times a factor $g^{-W/2}$ [1]. Thus, if we have a scalar density, Ω of weight $+1$, and we can construct a scalar identity dividing Ω by $\sqrt{-g}$. Thus, we have an ordinary scalar,

$$\left(\frac{\Omega}{\sqrt{-g}}\right) \tag{6}$$

where both $\sqrt{-g}$, and Ω are scalar densities of weight $+1$. The covariant differentiation of this quantity is not different from that of the ordinary scalar field. That is,

$$\nabla_{\mu}\left(\frac{\Omega}{\sqrt{-g}}\right) \equiv \partial_{\mu}\left(\frac{\Omega}{\sqrt{-g}}\right). \tag{7}$$

Our idea is that the quantity $\left(\frac{\Omega}{\sqrt{-g}}\right)$, can be used to solve many problems in physics and we expect the solutions will be different when compared with scalar field solutions.Some properties of scalar field, and scalar density of weight $+1$ are summarized in **Table 1**.

Table 1. Properties of scalar field and scalar density field.

Scalar field, ϕ		**Scalar density,** Ω
	Transformation	
$x_\mu \longrightarrow x_\mu^{'}$, $\phi(x) \longrightarrow \phi(x^{'})$		$x_\mu \longrightarrow x_\mu^{'}$, $\Omega(x) \longrightarrow \det\left(\frac{\partial x^{'}}{\partial x}\right)\Omega(x^{'})$
	Covariant Derivative	
$\nabla_\mu\phi = \partial_\mu\phi$		$\nabla_\mu\Omega = \partial_\mu\Omega - \Gamma^\alpha_{\alpha\mu}\Omega$
	Kinetic Terms	
minimal kinetic term: $K = \frac{1}{2}g^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\phi\partial_\nu\phi$		non-minimal kinetic term: $K = \frac{1}{2}g^{\mu\nu}\left[\partial_\mu\Omega - \Gamma^\alpha_{\alpha\mu}\Omega\right] \times \left[\partial_\nu\Omega - \Gamma^\beta_{\beta\nu}\Omega\right]$
	Potential	
depends only on ϕ. Potential does not contribute to evolution of ϕ's equation and gravity if $V(\phi) = 0$.		depends both on Ω, and $\sqrt{-g}$. Potential does not contribute to gravity, but Ω's evolution is affected if $V\left(\frac{\Omega}{\sqrt{-g}}\right) = M_1^3\frac{\Omega}{\sqrt{-g}}$.

The parameter M_1 in the **Table 1** is the mass parameter of scalar field.

By using the scalar density the expanding universe in the RW metric, and the post-Newtonian solution of Klein-Gordon equation are separately discussed. The paper is organized as follows; section 2 is the calculations for scalar field and scalar density field in RW metric, section 3 is a derivation of Hamiltonian in post-Newtonian approximation, and ended with a short conclusion.

2 Equation of motion for a scalar, and a scalar density field in the RW metric

Recent observations such as luminosity distance-redshift relation for supernova Ia [3] [4], gravitational lansing [5], velocity fields [6], and the cosmic microwave background temperature anisotropies [7] suggest that the universe is currently dominated by an energy component (matter) with a negative pressure [8] [9] [10] [11]. The possible candidates for that energy part is cosmological constant, dynamical vacuum energy or a homogeneous scalar field (quintessence) that is very weakly coupled to ordinary matter [12] [13] [14] [15].

An action integral for a homogeneous scalar field theory is given as

$$S\left[\phi\right] = \int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\left[-\frac{1}{2}M_{pl}^2R + \frac{1}{2}g^{\mu\nu}\nabla_\mu\phi\nabla_\nu\phi - M_1^3\phi - V(\phi)\right]. \qquad (8)$$

Where M_{pl} is the Planck mass ($M_{pl}^2 = \frac{1}{8\pi G}$), R is the Ricci curvature of spacetime, ∇_μ is the covariant derivative operator. On very large scales the

universe is spatially homogeneous and isotropic, which implies that its metric takes the RW form [16]

$$ds^2 = dt^2 - a^2(t)\left[\frac{dr^2}{1-\kappa r^2} + r^2 d\Omega^2\right] \tag{9}$$

Where $d\Omega^2 = d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\varphi^2$, $a(t)$ is the cosmological expansion scale factor, and curvature parameter κ takes values $+1$, 0, and -1 for positively, flat, and negatively curved spaces, respectively. Varying equation (8) with respect to ϕ, and $g_{\mu\nu}$ to get , respectively, the Klein-Gordon equation, and Friedmann equation:

$$\ddot{\phi} + 3H\dot{\phi} + M_1^3 + \frac{dV}{d\phi} = 0, \tag{10}$$

$$H^2 = \frac{1}{M_{pl}^2}\left\{\frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi}^2 - \left[M_1^3\phi + V(\phi)\right]\right\}. \tag{11}$$

Where dot represents the time derivative, the Hubble expansion rate is defined by $H \equiv \frac{\dot{a}}{a}$. If we set the $V = 0$, M_1 is still affects the evolution of ϕ. The scalar field density, ρ_ϕ, and the mass density associated with it, ρ_{M_1}, drive the expansion. Where $\rho_\phi \equiv \dot{\phi}^2$, and $\rho_{M_1} \equiv M_1^3\phi$.

In the scalar density picture; the action (8) can be written as follows

$$\begin{aligned} S[\Omega] &= \int d^4x\sqrt{-g}[-\frac{1}{2}M_{pl}^2 R + \frac{1}{2}g^{\mu\nu}\nabla_\mu\left(\frac{\Omega}{\sqrt{-g}}\right)\nabla_\nu\left(\frac{\Omega}{\sqrt{-g}}\right) \\ &\quad -M_1^3\left(\frac{\Omega}{\sqrt{-g}}\right) - V\left(\frac{\Omega}{\sqrt{-g}}\right)]. \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Where Ω is a scalar density of weight $W = +1$, and the determinant of metric, g, is a scalar density of weight $W = +2$ [2]. Covariant derivative of a scalar density of weight W is, $\nabla_\mu\Omega = \partial_\mu\Omega - W\Gamma^\alpha_{\alpha\mu}\Omega$. Varying action (12) with respect to the line-element, one gets the energy-momentum tensor as

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\mu\nu} &= \frac{1}{M_{pl}^2}\{-\frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}g^{\alpha\beta}\nabla_\alpha\left(\frac{\Omega}{\sqrt{-g}}\right)\nabla_\beta\left(\frac{\Omega}{\sqrt{-g}}\right)\frac{\Omega}{\sqrt{-g}} \\ &\quad +g_{\mu\nu}[V\left(\frac{\Omega}{\sqrt{-g}}\right) - \left(\frac{\Omega}{\sqrt{-g}}\right)V'\left(\frac{\Omega}{\sqrt{-g}}\right)] + 5\nabla_\mu\left(\frac{\Omega}{\sqrt{-g}}\right)\nabla_\nu\left(\frac{\Omega}{\sqrt{-g}}\right) \\ &\quad -g_{\mu\nu}g^{\alpha\beta}\nabla_\alpha\left(\frac{\Omega}{\sqrt{-g}}\nabla_\beta\left(\frac{\Omega}{\sqrt{-g}}\right)\right)\}, \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

where prime denotes the derivative with respect to the metric.

Varying equation (12) with respect to Ω, one gets the field equation of scalar density Ω as

$$g^{\mu\nu}\nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu\left(\frac{\Omega}{\sqrt{-g}}\right)+M_1^3+\frac{dV\left(\frac{\Omega}{\sqrt{-g}}\right)}{d\Omega}=0. \tag{14}$$

Contracting equation (13) with $g_{\mu\nu}$ one gets the following equation; by setting $V(\frac{\Omega}{\sqrt{-g}})=0$ and using the equation (14);

$$R=\frac{1}{M_{pl}^2}\left\{g^{\alpha\beta}\nabla_\alpha\left(\frac{\Omega}{\sqrt{-g}}\right)\nabla_\beta\left(\frac{\Omega}{\sqrt{-g}}\right)-4M_1^3\left(\frac{\Omega}{\sqrt{-g}}\right)\right\}. \tag{15}$$

Consistent with the observations, cosmic microwave background (CMB) and Type Ia supernova, the universe spatially homogeneous and isotropic, but evolving in time [17]. In the RW metric the last two equations can be written as follows;

$$\ddot{\widetilde{\Omega}}-3H\dot{\widetilde{\Omega}}-3\dot{H}\widetilde{\Omega}+a^3(t)M_1^3=0, \tag{16}$$

$$R=\frac{1}{M_{pl}^2}\left\{\left(\frac{\dot{\widetilde{\Omega}}}{a^3}\right)^2-6\frac{H}{a^6}\dot{\widetilde{\Omega}}\widetilde{\Omega}+\left(\frac{3H}{a^3}\right)^2\widetilde{\Omega}^2-4\frac{M_1^3}{a^3}\widetilde{\Omega}\right\}. \tag{17}$$

Here we used $\Omega=\frac{r^2\sin\theta}{\sqrt{1-\kappa r^2}}\widetilde{\Omega}$ transformation, and assumed that $\frac{\widetilde{\Omega}}{a^3}$ is completely homogeneous through space. Friedmann equation for equation (12) is

$$H^2=\frac{1}{M_{pl}^2a^6}\left\{\widetilde{\Omega}\left(\ddot{\widetilde{\Omega}}-3\frac{\ddot{a}}{a}\widetilde{\Omega}\right)+\frac{3}{2}\left(\dot{\widetilde{\Omega}}\right)^2+\frac{1}{2}H\widetilde{\Omega}\left(11H\widetilde{\Omega}-8\dot{\widetilde{\Omega}}\right)\right\} \tag{18}$$

The effect of M_1^3 is not seen in this equation, but by using equation (16), the last equation can be written as follows

$$H^2=\frac{3}{M_{pl}^2a^6}\left\{\frac{1}{2}\left(\dot{\widetilde{\Omega}}\right)^2+\frac{1}{3}\left(H\left(\frac{5}{2}H\widetilde{\Omega}-\dot{\widetilde{\Omega}}\right)-a^3M_1^3\right)\widetilde{\Omega}\right\} \tag{19}$$

$$\equiv\rho_\Omega+\rho_{M_1}$$

Comparing the solutions of scalar field in Eqs. (10), (11) with those of scalar density in Eq. (16), (19), they are completely different. Both the evolution equation of scalar density, and Friedmann equation contain more terms that drive the expansion when compared with those of scalar. The third term in (19) is considered as a non-minimal coupling in ref.[15]. In equation (19), the density part of $\widetilde{\Omega}$ is proportional to a^{-6}, while that of associated mass part is proportional to a^{-3}. So, the scalar density part decreases with time more rapidly than the mass density associated with it. In addition to the effect of M_1 the evolution of Eq. (16) is affected by a^3.

3 post-Newtonian approximation

For a scalar field the Klein-Gordon equation can be written in the following form;

$$g^{\mu\nu}\nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu\phi - \frac{m^2c^2}{\hbar}\phi = 0. \tag{20}$$

The derivatives in the first term are covariant with respect to both general coordinate and gauge transformation:

$$\nabla_\mu T^\nu \equiv \partial_\mu T^\nu + \Gamma^\nu_{\mu\sigma}T^\sigma - \frac{ie}{\hbar c}A_\mu T^\nu \tag{21}$$

for $T_\mu \equiv \partial_\mu\phi - \frac{ie}{\hbar c}A_\mu\phi$. Where $\Gamma^\nu_{\mu\sigma}$ is the connection coefficients. In post-Newtonian approximation $g^{\mu\nu}$ is

$$g_{00} = -\left[1 - 2\frac{U}{c^2} + 2\beta\left(\frac{U}{c^2}\right)^2\right],$$
$$g_{ij} = \left[1 + 2\gamma\frac{U}{c^2}\right]\delta_{ij.} \tag{22}$$

where $U(x,t)$ is gravitational potential, $g_{0i} = 0$, and i, $j = 1$, 2, 3. Inserting (22) in (20), and going to non-relativistic limit , the equation of motion for (20) can be written as follows[18] [19]:

$$i\hbar\partial_t\varphi = H\varphi \tag{23}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} H_\phi &= \frac{\vec{p}^2}{2m} - eA_0 - mU - \left(\gamma + \frac{1}{2}\right)\frac{U}{mc^2} - \left(\frac{1}{2} - \beta\right)\frac{mU^2}{c^2} \\ &\quad -i\hbar\frac{(2\gamma+1)}{2mc^2}\vec{g}.\vec{p} - \frac{3\gamma\pi G_N\hbar^2}{mc^2}\rho_m. \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

where ρ_m is the rest mass density of the matter distribution, $\vec{g} = -\vec{\nabla}U$ being the gravitational acceleration. Now we consider the Klein-Gordon equation for a scalar density,

$$g^{\mu\nu}\nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu\left(\frac{\Omega}{\sqrt{-g}}\right) - \frac{m^2c^2}{\hbar}\left(\frac{\Omega}{\sqrt{-g}}\right) = 0, \tag{25}$$

and using the same calculation techniques as done in scalar field in post-Newtonian approximation, the Hamiltonian becomes

$$\begin{aligned} H_\Omega &= \frac{\vec{p}^2}{2m} - eA_0 - mU - \left(\gamma + \frac{1}{2}\right)\frac{U}{mc^2} - \left(\frac{1}{2} - \beta\right)\frac{mU^2}{c^2} \\ &\quad -i\hbar\frac{(8\gamma-3)}{2mc^2}\vec{g}.\vec{p} - \frac{(3\gamma-2)\pi G_N\hbar^2}{mc^2}\rho_m. \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

The Hamiltonian obtained for scalar density is different from that of scalar field when comparing the coefficients of the last two terms.

4 Conclusions

The scalar density can be used for many problems in physics to solve, and these solutions may rise interesting results, because of the behavior of scalar density is different from that of scalar itself. Obviously in the RW metric, the scalar density solution has influenced both Klein-Gordon equation and Friedmann equation when compared with those of scalar field. In the scalar density solution; scalar density part decreases with time more rapidly than the mass density associated with it. Also, in post-Newtonian approximation it is clear to see that, scalar density solution modifies both the Darwin term, and gravitation acceleration; the effect of acceleration is increased $\simeq 1.6$ times that of scalar field solution in Einstein gravity.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank to D. A. Demir for the suggestion of the research proposal and useful discussion.

References

[1] S. Weinberg, *Gravitation and Cosmology: Principles and Applications of The General Theory of Relativity*, Jhon Willey and Sons, Newyork (1972).

[2] R. D'Inverno, *Introducing Einstein's Relativity*, Oxford University Press Inc., Newyork (1993).

[3] A. G. Riess et al., *Astrophys. J.* **509**, 74 (1998).

[4] S. Perlmutter et al., *Nature (London)* **391**, 51 (1998).

[5] Y. Mellier, *Annu. Rev. Astron. Astrophys.* **37**, 127 (1999).

[6] R. Juszkiewicz et al., *Science* **287**, 109 (2000).

[7] A. Melchiorri et al., *arXiv: astro-ph/9911445*.

[8] L. Wang, R. R. Caldwell, J. P. Ostriker, and P. J. Steinhardt, *arXiv: astro-ph/9901388*.

[9] L. M. Krauss, and M. S. Turner, *Gen. Relativ.* **27**, 1137 (1995).

[10] S. Perlmutter et al., *Astrophys. J.* **517**, 565 (1999).

[11] B. P. Schmidt, *Astrophys. J.* **507**, 46 (1998).

[12] P. J. E. Peebles, and B. Ratra, *Astrophys. J.* **325**, L17 (1988).

[13] P. J. E. Peebles, *Astrophys. J.* **284**, 349 (1984).

[14] B. Ratra, and P. J. E. Peebles, *Phys. Rev. D* **37**, 12 (1988).

[15] T. Chiba, *Phys. Rev. D* **64**, 103503 (2001).

[16] S. M. Carroll, *arXiv: astro-ph/0004075*, (2000).

[17] S. Carroll, *Spacetime and Geometry*, Addison Wesley, San Francisco (2004).

[18] C. Laemmerzahl, *Phys. Lett. A* **2003**, 12 (1995).

[19] E. Mese, N. Pirinccioglu, I. Acikgoz, and F. Binbay, *arXiv: gr-qc/0607113*, (2006).